Whittle Index Policy for Crawling Ephemeral Content

K. Avrachenkov (Inria) and V. Borkar (IIT Bombay)

MAS 2016, Grenoble



・ロット (雪) () () () ()

The architecture of most web search engines consist of the following main building blocks:

- Crawler or Web Robot;
- Indexing engine;
- Interface handling users' queries.



Crawler is responsible for discovering new web pages and updating old pages.

The document collection should be quite fresh and satisfy current interests of users (Cho and Garcia-Molina, 2000, 2003).



・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日

Nowadays an overwhelming majority of people find new information on the web at news sites, blogs, forums and social networking groups.

However, most information consumed is *ephemeral* in nature. That is, people tend to lose their interest in the content in several days or hours.

The interest in a content can be measured in terms of clicks or number of relevant search requests.



Ephemeral content



Figure: from (Lefortier, 2013).



æ

・ロト ・日子・ ・ ヨア・

Ephemeral content





э

・ロト ・日下・ ・ ヨト

There are N sources of ephemeral content.

A content at source $i \in \{1, ..., N\}$ is published with an initial utility modelled by a nonnegative random variable ξ_i , with mean $\overline{\xi}_i$, and decreasing exponentially over time with a deterministic rate μ_i .

Thus, if source *i*'s content is crawled τ time units after its creation, its utility is given by $\xi_i \exp(-\mu_i \tau)$.

The new content arrives at source *i* according to a time-homogeneous Poisson process with rate Λ_i .



・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日

We assume that the crawler crawls periodically at multiples of time T > 0 and has to choose at each such instant which sources to crawl, subject to a constraint on the number of sources per period.

When the crawler crawls a content source, we assume that the crawling is done in an exhaustive manner.

The crawler obtains the following expected reward from crawling source *i*:

$$u_i = \Lambda_i E[\xi_i \exp(-\mu_i \tau)] = \frac{\Lambda_i \bar{\xi}_i}{\mu_i} \left(1 - \exp(-\mu_i T)\right). \quad (1)$$

Set $\alpha_i = \exp(-\mu_i T)$.



Let us define the state of source i at time t as the total expected utility of its content, denoted by $X_i(t)$.

Then, if we do not crawl source *i* at epoch *t* ($v_i(t) = 0$), we obtain zero reward $r_i(X_i(t), v_i(t)) = 0$ and the state evolves as follows:

$$X_i(t+1) = \alpha_i X_i(t) + u_i.$$
⁽²⁾

On the other hand, if we crawl source $i(v_i(t) = 1)$, we obtain the expected reward $r_i(X_i(t), v_i(t)) = X_i(t)$ and the next state of the source is given by

$$X_i(t+1) = u_i. \tag{3}$$



Our aim is to maximize the long run average reward

$$\limsup_{t\uparrow\infty}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\frac{1}{t}\sum_{m=0}^{t}r(X_{i}(t),v_{i}(t))$$
(4)

subject to the constraint

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} C_i v_i(t) = M, \qquad (5)$$

for a prescribed M > 0.



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 少へ⊙

With large M the model quickly becomes intractable even numerically, so-called "curse of dimensionality".

Fortunately, there is a concept of Whittle index (Whittle, 1988) which helps to decompose multi-dimensional problems.



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Examples of applications:

- sensor scheduling (Nino-Mora, Vilar)
- multi-UAV coordination (Ny, Dahleh, Feron)
- congestion control (Avrachenkov, Ayesta, Doncel, Jacko)
- cognitive radio (Liu, Zhao)
- real time wireless multicast (Raghunathan, Borkar, Cao, Kumar)



・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日

The first idea is to substitute the strict constraint (5) with the average constraint

$$\limsup_{t\uparrow\infty}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\frac{1}{t}\sum_{m=0}^{t}C_{i}v_{i}(t)=M$$
(6)

By the way, this becomes an instance of Average Reward Markov Decision Process with Constraints (Piunovskiy 1997, Altman, 1999).



Then, we can use the technique of Lagrange multiplier

$$\limsup_{t\uparrow\infty}\frac{1}{t}\sum_{s=0}^{t}E[r_i(X_i(t))v_i(s)+\lambda(v_i(s)-M/N)].$$
 (7)

with the associated dynamic programming equation for the above average reward problem

$$V_{i}(x)+\beta = \max\left(r_{i}(x)+\int p_{i}(dy|x)V_{i}(y), \lambda+\int q_{i}(dy|x)V_{i}(y)\right).$$
(8)

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

In our particular case, the dynamic programming equation takes the form:

$$V(x) + \beta = \max (C\lambda + V(\alpha x + u), x)$$
(9)
=
$$\max_{v \in \{0,1\}} (vx + (1 - v)(C\lambda + V(\alpha x + u)))(10)$$



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

The problem is called *indexable* if the set of passive states

$$B(\lambda) := \left\{ x : \lambda + \int q_i(dy|x)V_i(y) \ge r_i(x) + \int p_i(dy|x)V_i(y) \right\}.$$

increases monotonically from ϕ to the full state space as λ increases from $-\infty$ to ∞ . Then, for each source *i*, the *Whittle index* is defined as

$$\gamma_i(x_i) :=$$

$$\{\lambda':\lambda'+\int q_i(dy|x_i)V(y)=r_i(x_i)+\int p_i(dy|x_i)V(y)\}.$$



3

・ロト ・雪 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

The Whittle index policy is to set $v_i(t) = 1$ for the *i* with the top *M* indices and $v_i(t) = 0$ for the rest.

Under quite general conditions, the Whittle index policy has been shown asymptotically optimal:

- ▶ by (Weber & Weiss, 1990) for symmetric case;
- ▶ by (Verloop, 2015) for finite number of types of bandits.



(日) (個) (目) (目) (目) (目)

Our main result is

Theorem The problem of crawling ephemeral content sources is indexable with the Whittle index given by

$$\gamma_i(\mathbf{x}_i) = \frac{1}{C_i} \left(\eta_i(\mathbf{x}_i)((1 - \alpha_i)\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{u}_i) + \mathbf{x}_i \right),$$

where

$$\eta_i(\mathbf{x}_i) = \left\lceil \log_{\alpha_i}^+ \left(\frac{u_i - (1 - \alpha_i) \mathbf{x}_i}{u_i} \right) \right\rceil,$$

and

$$u_i = \frac{\Lambda_i \bar{\xi}_i}{\mu_i} \left(1 - \exp(-\mu_i T)\right).$$



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Proof key points:

- Proving key properties of the value function first for the discount case and then passing to the limit;
- ► The sets B and B^c are of the form [u, a) and [a, u^{*}], resp., for some a ∈ [u, u^{*}];
- The optimal policy for separate arm is of threshold type;
- The value of the threshold monotonically increase with λ .



Consider an illustrative example with four information sources.



Figure: Content value as a function of time.



Numerical example



Note that if one crawls only the "best" source 1, he obtains the average reward 179.79. In contrast, the index policy involving two sources results in average reward 254.66.

Image: A matrix a

Numerical example



It is interesting that now the policy becomes much less regular.

Image: A mathematical states and a mathem

When we observe the states in the stochastic setting, we can still apply the deterministic Whittle index.

Even though in the stochastic case the deterministic Whittle index is just an heuristic, it performs quite well. Take M = 1.

Round Robin policy: 208

Greedy policy, $\max_i \frac{\Lambda_i \xi_i}{\mu_i} (1 - \exp(-\mu_i \times TLastCrawl_i))$: 260

Deterministic Whittle index: 285



Numerical example (stochastic setting)



Figure: The case of M = 2 (stochastic model).

In the stochastic setting source 1 is crawled from time to time.

Some other developments not described in the talk:

- ▶ We proved Whittle indexability in the fully stochastic case;
- Described numerical procedures for the Whittle index in the stochastic case (unfortunately, there is no nice explicit expression);
- Online dual descent type method for the relaxed control problem.



(日) (四) (王) (日) (日) (日)

Thank you!

Any questions are welcome.

