Spiking neurons : interacting processes with memory of variable or infinite length

Susanne Ditlevsen Antonio Galves Eva Löcherbach

Journées MAS, Grenoble, 2016





• Neurons : generate and propagate action potentials the long of their axons.

• They communicate by transmitting spikes : this is a fast transmembrane current of K^+/Na^+ -ions, stimulated by ion pumps.

The emission of spikes depends on external stimuli. Picture shows the membrane potential of **one single neuron**, under increasing concentration of potassium.



FIGURE: Cortical slice of an active network of $O(10^4)$ neurons, Picture by R. Höpfner and H. Luhmann, Mainz

Closer look to spikes

The shape and the time duration of spikes is almost deterministic and always "the same" (for a fixed neuron, under the same experimental conditions)



FIGURE: Picture by R. Höpfner, Mainz

Susanne Ditlevsen, Antonio Galves, Eva Löcherbach Spiking neurons

The next picture is by Jahn, Berg, Hounsgaard, Ditlevsen, 2011. It also shows that spikes **do not appear** when the membrane potential hits a fixed threshold...



Susanne Ditlevsen, Antonio Galves, Eva Löcherbach Spiking neurons

- The duration of each spike is very short (about 1 ms) followed by a refractory period during which the neuron can not spike again (about 1 ms).
- Since shape of spike almost deterministic \rightarrow report if at a given time there is **presence or absence of a spike** \rightarrow **spike trains**.
- Allows to encode the interactions between neurons.
- Can be done in discrete or continuous time (in this talk : mostly, continuous time).

Spike trains

A1	1.1	11					
A2	1	1.11		111			
A3		1					
A4	1 1 1 1	1.11		1 11			
A5		10 U. U. U.	1.111				
A6		11.1	1	1.1	1.1	1 1	
B1				1.1			
B2		1 I.					
B3	1					i I	
B4	և ս ս	LLL L	1 11 11				
B5							
B6		1					
C1				-			_
C2			11	Ш		11 11	
C3	1 11	- I					_
C4							_
C5				1		- 1	_
C6		1.011.011		1 11 11			_
D1				1.1			_
D2		10.11.1.1	I III III			111 1	4
D3							_
D4				1			_
D5		11.11.1	11 11	111 11	1		_
D6							4
E1							_
E2							_
E3						_	
E4							_
E5							_
E6							_
00	sec 1	Dsec	2 sec		3 sec		40

FIGURE: Spike trains of several neurons - Picture by W. Maass

・ロン ・雪 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・

æ

Susanne Ditlevsen, Antonio Galves, Eva Löcherbach Spiking neurons

Why should a mathematician be interested in all this???

On the level of a single neuron :

- What is exactly a spike?
- What is a good model for the spike generation ? \rightarrow Dynamical systems : Hodgkin-Huxley, Fitzhugh-Nagumo, Integrate-and-Fire diffusion models

See : Berglund and Landon (2012), Berglund and Kuehn (2016), Ditlevsen and Greenwood (2013), Hodgkin and Huxley (1952) : Nobel price, Höpfner, L., Thieullen : On the stochastic Hodgkin-Huxley model (2014-2016), Izhikevich : Dynamical Systems in Neuroscience (2007), Riedler and Buckwar (2013). And many others.

- 4 回 🕨 - 4 回 🕨 - 4 回 🕨

On the level of interacting systems of neurons :

- How is information encoded in such spike patterns?
- Can we detect an external stimulus and how?
- How to explain the appearance of synchronized spiking patterns or neuronal avalanches ??
- What about the interactions between neurons? complex, evolve in time, which spatial structure (random graphs?)
- Can we rely on a macroscopic description, or do we have to look at the system in detail ?

A lot of people have worked on this subject...

• See for instance Faugeras, Touboul, Cessac (2009), Delarue, Inglis, Rubenthaler and Tanré (2014, 2015), Inglis-Talay (2015) for mean-field models of integrate and fire diffusion models.

• A completely different model : Kuramoto model (rotators), see for instance Bertini, Giacomin, Pakdaman (2010), Giacomin, Luçon, Poquet (2014)... And many others! A lot of people have worked on this subject...

• See for instance Faugeras, Touboul, Cessac (2009), Delarue, Inglis, Rubenthaler and Tanré (2014, 2015), Inglis-Talay (2015) for mean-field models of integrate and fire diffusion models.

• A completely different model : Kuramoto model (rotators), see for instance Bertini, Giacomin, Pakdaman (2010), Giacomin, Luçon, Poquet (2014)... And many others!

• We will concentrate on another type of models for interacting neurons : Systems of interacting point processes with memory of variable or infinite length.

< 🗇 > < 🖃 >

- Introduction of the model : Point process models for large systems of interacting neurons given by Hawkes processes.
- Propagation of chaos for a particular multi-class system.
- Erlang kernels allow to develop the memory. Associated Piecewise Deterministic Markov Process (PDMP).
- **9** Study of the oscillatory behavior of the limit system.
- And of the finite size system \implies Large deviations.

Point processes

- Point process model : for each neuron, we model the random times of appearance of a spike.
- N ~ 10¹¹ neurons (= point processes) which interact. Sometimes : N = ∞.
- Counting process associated to neuron $i, 1 \le i \le N$:

 $Z_i(t) =$ number of of spikes of neuron *i* during [0, t].

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

 Spike counting process associated to neuron i : Z_i(t) has intensity process λ_i(t) defined by

 $P(Z_i \text{ has a jump during }]t, t + dt]|\mathcal{F}_t) = \lambda_i(t)dt.$

- All we need to know about the process is encoded in its intensity !
- If λ_i(t) ≡ λ > 0, then Z_i(t) is a Poisson process with intensity λ. Not really suitable in order to have a good model for spike trains... It is e.g. well-known that successive interspike intervals are not independent !

- 4 同 ト 4 ヨ ト 4 ヨ ト

 Spike counting process associated to neuron i : Z_i(t) has intensity process λ_i(t) defined by

 $P(Z_i \text{ has a jump during }]t, t + dt]|\mathcal{F}_t) = \lambda_i(t)dt.$

- All we need to know about the process is encoded in its intensity !
- If λ_i(t) = λ > 0, then Z_i(t) is a Poisson process with intensity λ. Not really suitable in order to have a good model for spike trains... It is e.g. well-known that successive interspike intervals are not independent ! This can be due to the interactions in the system. Or : due to the memory structure of the process...

▲ □ ► ▲ □ ► ▲

 Spike counting process associated to neuron i : Z_i(t) has intensity process λ_i(t) defined by

 $P(Z_i \text{ has a jump during }]t, t + dt]|\mathcal{F}_t) = \lambda_i(t)dt.$

- All we need to know about the process is encoded in its intensity !
- If λ_i(t) ≡ λ > 0, then Z_i(t) is a Poisson process with intensity λ. Not really suitable in order to have a good model for spike trains... It is e.g. well-known that successive interspike intervals are not independent ! This can be due to the interactions in the system. Or : due to the memory structure of the process...

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

• Instead of using Poisson processes, we will use Hawkes processes. Indeed :

Hawkes intensity

• Hawkes processes are good models for neuronal spike trains (Chornoboy, Schramm and Karr 1988).

• They are very popular nowadays and widely used :

- in neuroscience : Hansen, Reynaud-Bouret and Rivoirard (2015), Julien Chevallier (2016), ...

- in genomics : Reynaud-Bouret and Schbath (2006), ...
- in financial econometrics : Jaisson and Rosenbaum (2014), ...
- have been introduced in 1971 by Hawkes to model earthquakes and the appearance of their aftershocks.

• Main idea : Self exciting (influencing) point processes : past events trigger future events.

• For linear Hawkes processes, there is a representation via an equivalent branching process.

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 >

- We will use large or infinite systems of interacting Hawkes processes as models for interacting neurons.
- All we need to know about the process is encoded in its intensity !
- This intensity should encorporate the **interactions between the neurons.**
- It should also represent the way the spiking behavior of a neuron depends on its history :
- It is commonly admitted that spike trains should be processes having infinite or variable memory.
- Hence $\lambda_i(t)$ is a stochastic process, depending on the whole history before time t.

- 4 同 2 4 日 2 4 日 2

Interacting Hawkes processes

- *N* neurons ($N = \infty$ possible).
- Intensity of i-th neuron given by

$$\lambda_i(t) = f_i\left(\sum_{j=1}^N \int_{]0,t[} h_{ij}(t-s)dZ_j(s)
ight).$$

• $f_i =$ spiking rate function of neuron *i*. $f_i : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}_+$, increasing, at least *locally Lipschitz*.

A (1) > A (1) > A

Interacting Hawkes processes

- *N* neurons ($N = \infty$ possible).
- Intensity of i-th neuron given by

$$\lambda_i(t) = f_i\left(\sum_{j=1}^N \int_{]0,t[} h_{ij}(t-s)dZ_j(s)\right)$$

• $f_i =$ spiking rate function of neuron *i*. $f_i : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}_+$, increasing, at least *locally Lipschitz*.

• h_{ij} measures the influence of neuron j on neuron i and how this influence vanishes with the time : $h_{ij}(t-s)$ describes how a spike of neuron j lying back t - s time units in the past influences the present spiking probability of neuron i.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Interacting Hawkes processes

- *N* neurons ($N = \infty$ possible).
- Intensity of i-th neuron given by

$$\lambda_i(t) = f_i\left(\sum_{j=1}^N \int_{]0,t[} h_{ij}(t-s)dZ_j(s)\right)$$

• $f_i =$ spiking rate function of neuron *i*. $f_i : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}_+$, increasing, at least *locally Lipschitz*.

• h_{ij} measures the influence of neuron j on neuron i and how this influence vanishes with the time : $h_{ij}(t-s)$ describes how a spike of neuron j lying back t - s time units in the past influences the present spiking probability of neuron i.

• If h_{ij} is not of compact support, then : truly infinite memory process.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Example

$$\lambda_i(t) = f_i\left(\sum_j \int_{]0,t[} W_{ij}e^{-\alpha(t-s)}dZ_j(s)\right)$$

 $- W_{ij} = synaptic weight of neuron j on neuron i. If <math>W_{ji} > 0$, then the synapse is excitatory, if $W_{ji} < 0$, then it is inhibitory.

- $-e^{-lpha(t-s)}$: past events are forgotten at exponential speed.
- Neurons which have a direct influence on the spiking activity of *i* are those belonging to

$\mathcal{V}_i := \{j : W_{ij} \neq 0\} \Rightarrow$ Interaction graph.

In the case of infinite systems : "minimal" summability condition needed for existence of process during finite time intervals :

$$\sup_{i}\sum_{j}|W_{ij}|<\infty.$$

Example (Variable length memory Hawkes processes)

$$\lambda_i(t) = f_i\left(\sum_j W_{ij}\int_{[L_t^i,t[}g_j(t-s)dZ_j(s)
ight),$$

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

3

where $L_t^i = \sup\{s < t : Z_i([s]) > 0\}$ is the last spiking time of neuron *i* before time *t*.

Example (Variable length memory Hawkes processes)

$$\lambda_i(t) = f_i\left(\sum_j W_{ij}\int_{[L_t^i,t[}g_j(t-s)dZ_j(s)
ight),$$

where $L_t^i = \sup\{s < t : Z_i([s]) > 0\}$ is the last spiking time of neuron *i* before time *t*. Induces a variable length memory structure : each neuron depends on the spikes of its presynaptic neurons seen since its last spiking time.

This model has been introduced in discrete time and for infinite systems of neurons by Galves and L. (JSP, 2013).

A (1) > A (1) > A

Discussion of the model

Introduce

$$U_i(t) := \sum_j \int_{]0,t[} h_{ij}(t-s) dZ_j(s)$$
 :

can be interpreted as membrane potential of neuron i at time t.

• Integrate-and-fire model : the membrane potential of neuron *i* collects all the past spike events of its *presynaptic neurons*. The neuron fires depending on the height of its actual membrane potential. (*Warning : in the literature, the name* "Integrate-and-fire"-model is often reserved to diffusion models.)

Discussion of the spiking rate function

- the function $f_i : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is called **spiking rate function** of neuron *i*.
- Often used in computational neuroscience (and if membrane potential is described by a diffusion model) :

 $f_i(u) = \infty \ \mathbb{1}_{\{u \geq K_i\}}$:

neuron *i* spikes when its membrane potential $U_i(t)$ hits (or overshoots) the **threshold** K_i .

• BUT : a fixed firing threshold does not exist !!!!! See e.g. Jahn, P., Berg, R., Hounsgaard, J. and Ditlevsen, S. *Motoneuron membrane potentials follow a time inhomogeneous jump diffusion process.* (2011)

イロン イ部ン イヨン イヨン 三日

Discussion of the spiking rate function

• the function $f_i : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is called **spiking rate function** of neuron *i*.

• Often used in computational neuroscience (and if membrane potential is described by a diffusion model) :

 $f_i(u) = \infty \ \mathbb{1}_{\{u \geq K_i\}}$:

neuron *i* spikes when its membrane potential $U_i(t)$ hits (or overshoots) the **threshold** K_i .

• BUT : a fixed firing threshold does not exist !!!!! See e.g. Jahn, P., Berg, R., Hounsgaard, J. and Ditlevsen, S. *Motoneuron membrane potentials follow a time inhomogeneous jump diffusion process.* (2011)

• Izhikevich *Dynamical systems in Neuroscience* : "The irony is that the Hodgkin-Huxley model does not have a well-defined threshold; it does not fire all-or-non spikes"

Therefore, in our model : NO THRESHOLD, f_i is a **locally Lipschitz continuous increasing** function.

Summary

- Our model is a huge or infinite system of interacting neurons represented by **a Hawkes process in high or infinite dimension**.

- Each neuron is represented by its membrane potential $U_i(t)$ at time t. This membrane potential integrates (sums up) all past spiking events of the other neurons directly influencing it.

- 4 同 1 - 4 三 1 - 4 三 1

- The neuron spikes randomly at rate $f_i(U_i(t))$.
- The process is of infinite or of variable length memory.
- In the case of a variable length memory : the membrane potential $U_i(t)$ is reset to 0 at each spiking time.

Some mathematical results that I am not going to talk about

 Purely mathematical question : Does such a process exist ? Is there a stationary version of it, and if yes, a unique one ?

 Brémaud and Massoulié (1996), Delattre, Fournier, Hoffmann (2016),

Some mathematical results that I am not going to talk about

Purely mathematical question : Does such a process exist ? Is there a stationary version of it, and if yes, a unique one ?

 Brémaud and Massoulié (1996), Delattre, Fournier, Hoffmann (2016), Hodara and L. (2016) : perfect simulation and graphical construction in the case of bounded rate functions. Relies on the use of a so-called Kalikow decomposition of the acceptance/rejection probabilities and the construction of an associated Clan-of-Ancestors-process. Uses an old idea which goes back e.g. to Comets, Fernandez, Ferrari (2002).

Some mathematical results that I am not going to talk about

Purely mathematical question : Does such a process exist ? Is there a stationary version of it, and if yes, a unique one ?

 Brémaud and Massoulié (1996), Delattre, Fournier, Hoffmann (2016), Hodara and L. (2016) : perfect simulation and graphical construction in the case of bounded rate functions. Relies on the use of a so-called Kalikow decomposition of the acceptance/rejection probabilities and the construction of an associated Clan-of-Ancestors-process. Uses an old idea which goes back e.g. to Comets, Fernandez, Ferrari (2002).

In discrete time : Galves and L. (2013), Doukhan and Wintenberger (2008)

- Study of the discrete time model : **Estimation of the interaction graph** : Duarte, Galves, L., Ost (2016)
- Study of mean-field models and Propagation of chaos : De Masi, Galves, L., Presutti (2015), Fournier and L. (2016), Robert and Touboul (2014), Duarte, Ost and Rodriguez (2016) for a spatially structured model, Drougoul and Veltz (2016)
- Estimation of the spiking rate function : Hodara, Krell, L. (2016)

Oscillations for multiclass systems of interacting neurons

• Let us now focus on a particular topic : Multiclass systems of interacting neurons presenting intrinisic oscillations : With Susanne Ditlevsen (2016), to appear in SPA.

• Main motivation : to find a good microscopic model to describe oscillating systems of interacting neurons.

• This system is made of *n* **populations** or clusters of neurons k = 1, 2, ..., n.

Oscillations for multiclass systems of interacting neurons

• Let us now focus on a particular topic : Multiclass systems of interacting neurons presenting intrinisic oscillations : With Susanne Ditlevsen (2016), to appear in SPA.

• Main motivation : to find a good microscopic model to describe oscillating systems of interacting neurons.

• This system is made of *n* **populations** or clusters of neurons k = 1, 2, ..., n.

• Each population k consists of N_k **neurons** described by their counting processes

$$Z_{k,i}(t), 1 \leq i \leq N_k.$$

• Within a population, all neurons behave in the same way. This is a mean-field assumption.

• Intensity of any neuron belonging to population k:

$$\lambda_k(t) = f_k\left(rac{1}{N_{k+1}}\sum_{1\leq j\leq N_{k+1}}\int_{]0,t[}h_k(t-s)dZ_{k+1,j}(s)
ight).$$

・ 同 ト ・ 三 ト ・ 三 ト

- $f_k = \text{jump rate function of population } k$; Lipschitz.
- Very particular interaction graph : Population k only influenced by population k + 1.

• Intensity of any neuron belonging to population k:

$$\lambda_k(t) = f_k\left(rac{1}{N_{k+1}}\sum_{1\leq j\leq N_{k+1}}\int_{]0,t[}h_k(t-s)dZ_{k+1,j}(s)
ight).$$

• $f_k = \text{jump rate function of population } k$; Lipschitz.

• Very particular interaction graph : Population k only influenced by population k + 1. Function h_k measures the influence of a typical neuron of population k + 1 on a typical neuron of population k; $h_k \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R})$.

- 4 同 ト 4 ヨ ト 4 ヨ ト
• Intensity of any neuron belonging to population k:

$$\lambda_k(t) = f_k\left(rac{1}{N_{k+1}}\sum_{1\leq j\leq N_{k+1}}\int_{]0,t[}h_k(t-s)dZ_{k+1,j}(s)
ight).$$

- $f_k = \text{jump rate function of population } k$; Lipschitz.
- Very particular interaction graph : Population k only influenced by population k + 1. Function h_k measures the influence of a typical neuron of population k + 1 on a typical neuron of population k; $h_k \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R})$.
- We are in a **mean field frame :** population k + 1 influences population k only through its empirical measure.

• Intensity of any neuron belonging to population k:

$$\lambda_k(t) = f_k\left(rac{1}{N_{k+1}}\sum_{1\leq j\leq N_{k+1}}\int_{]0,t[}h_k(t-s)dZ_{k+1,j}(s)
ight).$$

- $f_k = \text{jump rate function of population } k$; Lipschitz.
- Very particular interaction graph : Population k only influenced by population k + 1. Function h_k measures the influence of a typical neuron of population k + 1 on a typical neuron of population k; $h_k \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R})$.

• We are in a **mean field frame :** population k + 1 influences population k only through its empirical measure. And we are in a cyclic feedback frame

<ロ> (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Mean field limit

• What happens in the large system size limit?

• I.e. $N = N_1 + \ldots + N_n$ total number of neurons $\rightarrow \infty$ such that for each population

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{N_k}{N}>0.$$

• Remember the intensity of population k

$$\lambda_{k}(t) = f_{k} \left(\int_{]0,t[} h_{k}(t-s) \left[\frac{1}{N_{k+1}} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq N_{k+1}} dZ_{k+1,j}(s) \right] \right)$$

$$\uparrow \text{ LLN} \rightarrow d\mathbb{E}(\bar{Z}_{k+1}(s)),$$

where \bar{Z}_{k+1} is the counting process of a typical neuron belonging to population k + 1 in the $N \to \infty$ -limit.

Limit system

• Limit system : family of counting processes $\overline{Z}_k(t), k = 1, ..., n$, solution of an inhomogeneous equation

$$\bar{Z}_k(t) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mathbb{1}_{\{z \leq f_k(\int_0^s h_k(s-u)d\mathbb{E}(\bar{Z}_{k+1}(u))\}} N^k(ds, dz),$$

where N^k , k = 1, ..., n are independent PRM on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+$ with intensity *dsdz*.

• Existence of a pathwise unique solution of the limit system standard; follows ideas of Delattre, Fournier and Hoffmann (2016) on high-dimensional Hawkes processes in the one-population case.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Convergence to limit system

• Convergence of the finite size system (of the collection of empirical measures of each population) to the limit : standard as well : We take empirical measures within each population and obtain

Theorem (Propagation of chaos, Ditlevsen and L. 2016)

$$(rac{1}{N_1}\sum_{1\leq i\leq N_1}\delta_{(Z_{1,i}^N(t))_{t\geq 0}},\ldots,rac{1}{N_n}\sum_{1\leq i\leq N_n}\delta_{(Z_{n,i}^N(t))_{t\geq 0}})\ o \mathcal{L}((ar{Z}_1(t),\ldots,ar{Z}_n(t))_{t\geq 0}))$$

in probability, as $N \to \infty$. ($\mathcal{P}(D(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}_+))$) is endowed with the weak convergence topology ass. with the Skorokhod top. on $D(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}_+)$.)

- Multi-population frame : reminiscent of Graham (2008), see also Graham and Robert (2009), who has invented the notion of "multi-chaoticity".
- Note that in the limit the different populations are independent. Interactions of classes do only survive in law.

Study of intensities of the limit system

• Taking expectations yields : $m_t^k = \mathbb{E}(\bar{Z}_k(t)), k = 1, \dots, n$, solves

$$\frac{dm_t^k}{dt} = f_k\left(\int_0^t h_k(t-u)dm_u^{k+1}\right).$$

イロン イ部ン イヨン イヨン 三日

Study of intensities of the limit system

• Taking expectations yields : $m_t^k = \mathbb{E}(\bar{Z}_k(t)), k = 1, \dots, n$, solves

$$\frac{dm_t^k}{dt} = f_k\left(\int_0^t h_k(t-u)dm_u^{k+1}\right).$$

- Equations depending on the whole history.
- Hawkes processes are truly infinite memory processes the intensity depends on the whole history.

• We will present situations, in which these limit intensities $\frac{dm_t^k}{dt}$ OSCILLATE! We do this in the case where the system can be completed to a system of ODE's.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Developing the memory

• Consider Erlang memory kernels :

$$h_k(t) = c_k rac{t^{\eta_k}}{(\eta_k)!} e^{-
u_k t},
u_k > 0, \eta_k \in \mathbb{N}_0, c_k \in \mathbb{R}.$$

- The delay of influence of the past is distributed. It takes its maximum at about η_k/ν_k time units back in the past.
- The higher the order of the delay η_k , the more the delay is concentrated around its mean value $(\eta_k + 1)/\nu_k$.
- If $c_k > 0$, then the influence of pop k + 1 on pop k is excitatory, else : inhibitory.

< 🗇 > < 🖃 >

Recall : Limit integrated intensities given by

$$m_t^k = \mathbb{E}(\bar{Z}_k(t)), k = 1, \ldots, n,$$

where

$$\frac{dm_t^k}{dt} = f_k\left(\int_0^t h_k(t-u)dm_u^{k+1}\right).$$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

æ

Recall : Limit integrated intensities given by

$$m_t^k = \mathbb{E}(\bar{Z}_k(t)), k = 1, \ldots, n,$$

where

$$\frac{dm_t^k}{dt} = f_k\left(\int_0^t h_k(t-u)dm_u^{k+1}\right).$$

We write

$$x_t^k = \int_0^t h_k(t-u) dm_u^{k+1}.$$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

æ

Recall : Limit integrated intensities given by

$$m_t^k = \mathbb{E}(\bar{Z}_k(t)), k = 1, \ldots, n,$$

where

$$\frac{dm_t^k}{dt} = f_k\left(\int_0^t h_k(t-u)dm_u^{k+1}\right).$$

We write

$$x_t^k = \int_0^t h_k(t-u) dm_u^{k+1}.$$

CLAIM : In case of Erlang memory kernels h_k , it is possible to complete (x^1, \ldots, x^n) to a higher dimensional system of **ODE's!!!!** This is a standard trick in delay equations that I am going to explain now.

Developing the memory - continued

• Suppose e.g. $h_k(t) = h(t) = c_k t e^{-\nu_k t}$ (short memory of length 1).

 $h'(t) = -\nu_k h(t) + c_k e^{-\nu_k t}$

Developing the memory - continued

• Suppose e.g. $h_k(t) = h(t) = c_k t e^{-\nu_k t}$ (short memory of length 1).

 $h'(t) = -\nu_k h(t) + c_k e^{-\nu_k t} =: -\nu_k h(t) + h_1(t),$

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆三 > ◆三 > 三 の < ⊙

Developing the memory - continued

• Suppose e.g. $h_k(t) = h(t) = c_k t e^{-\nu_k t}$ (short memory of length 1).

$$h'(t) = -\nu_k h(t) + c_k e^{-\nu_k t} =: -\nu_k h(t) + h_1(t),$$

and

 $h_1'(t) = -\nu_k h_1(t)$: system closed !

イロン イ部ン イヨン イヨン 三日

Developing the memory - continued

• Suppose e.g. $h_k(t) = h(t) = c_k t e^{-\nu_k t}$ (short memory of length 1).

$$h'(t) = -\nu_k h(t) + c_k e^{-\nu_k t} =: -\nu_k h(t) + h_1(t),$$

and

 $h_1'(t) = u_k h_1(t)$: system closed !

• In terms of the intensity process : Introduce for $1 \le k \le n$,

$$x_t^k = \int_0^t h_k(t-s) dm_s^{k+1}, \quad y_t^k = \int_0^t h_1(t-s) dm_s^{k+1}(s).$$

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

 \Rightarrow two dimensional system of ODE's

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_t^k &= -\nu_k x_t^k + y_t^k, \\ \dot{y}_t^k &= -\nu_k y_t^k + c_k \frac{dm_t^{k+1}}{dt} \end{aligned}$$

Developing the memory - continued

• Suppose e.g. $h_k(t) = h(t) = c_k t e^{-\nu_k t}$ (short memory of length 1).

$$h'(t) = -\nu_k h(t) + c_k e^{-\nu_k t} =: -\nu_k h(t) + h_1(t),$$

and

 $h_1'(t) = u_k h_1(t)$: system closed !

• In terms of the intensity process : Introduce for $1 \le k \le n$,

$$x_t^k = \int_0^t h_k(t-s) dm_s^{k+1}, \quad y_t^k = \int_0^t h_1(t-s) dm_s^{k+1}(s).$$

 \Rightarrow two dimensional system of ODE's

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_t^k &= -\nu_k x_t^k + y_t^k, \\ \dot{y}_t^k &= -\nu_k y_t^k + c_k \frac{dm_t^{k+1}}{dt} = -\nu_k y_t^k + c_k f_{k+1}(x_t^{k+1}), \end{aligned}$$

where the last equation is linked to the next population.

Summary

• memory kernels of type $h_k(t) = cte^{-\nu t}$ give rise to a 2n-dimensional system of coupled ODE's which are of type

$$\dot{x}_t^k = -\nu_k x_t^k + y_t^k, \quad \dot{y}_t^k = -\nu_k y_t^k + c_k f_{k+1}(x_t^{k+1}),$$

글 > 글

for $1 \leq k \leq n$.

Summary

• memory kernels of type $h_k(t) = cte^{-\nu t}$ give rise to a 2n-dimensional system of coupled ODE's which are of type

$$\dot{x}_t^k = -\nu_k x_t^k + y_t^k, \quad \dot{y}_t^k = -\nu_k y_t^k + c_k f_{k+1}(x_t^{k+1}),$$

for $1 \leq k \leq n$.

• Increasing the delay of the memory kernel will increase the dimension of this system of coupled ODE's.

• This can be restated in terms of the original finite size jump process

A (1) > A (1) > A

Associated system of PDMP's

Let

$$X^{k,1}(t) = rac{1}{N_{k+1}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{k+1}} \int_{]0,t[} h_k(t-s) dZ_{k+1,j}(s), 1 \le k \le n,$$

and complete to system $X^{k,i}, 1 \leq k \leq n, 1 \leq i \leq \eta_k + 1$: PDMP with generator

$$\begin{aligned} A\varphi(x) &= \\ \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{\eta_{k}} \{-\nu_{k} x^{k,i} + x^{k,i+1}\} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x^{k,i}} - \nu_{k} x^{k,\eta_{k}+1} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x^{k,\eta_{k}+1}} \right] \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{n} N_{k+1} f_{k+1}(x_{k+1,1}) \left[\varphi(x + \frac{c_{k}}{N_{k+1}} e_{k,\eta_{k}+1}) - \varphi(x) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

- 4 回 2 - 4 □ 2 - 4 □

æ

Some simulations in the case of a single neuron

A single neuron's spike train represented by a Hawkes process with an Erlang memory kernel, of memory order 3 :



FIGURE: Picture by Aline Duarte, Cergy



FIGURE: Picture by Aline Duarte, Cergy

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト

æ

3

Monotone cyclic feedback systems

- Recall we wanted to find oscillations for the limit intensities.
- Our system of coupled ODE's in case of memory of order 1 : For $1 \le k \le n$,

$$\dot{x}_t^k = -\nu_k x_t^k + y_t^k, \quad \dot{y}_t = -\nu_k y_t^k + c_k f_{k+1}(x_t^{k+1}).$$

A (1) > A (2) > A

æ

Monotone cyclic feedback systems

- Recall we wanted to find oscillations for the limit intensities.
- Our system of coupled ODE's in case of memory of order 1 : For $1 \le k \le n$,

$$\dot{x}_t^k = -\nu_k x_t^k + y_t^k, \quad \dot{y}_t = -\nu_k y_t^k + c_k f_{k+1}(x_t^{k+1}),$$

• This system is a **monotone cyclic feedback system** (coined by Mallet-Paret and Smith 1990).

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲

- Cyclic means : population k is only influenced by population k + 1, for all k.
- Feedback : population n is influenced by population 1.
- Monotone : all rate functions f_k are non-decreasing.

• Put $\delta := \prod_{k=1}^{n} c_k$. If $\delta > 0$, the system is of positive feedback, else, it is of negative feedback.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

æ

• Put $\delta := \prod_{k=1}^{n} c_k$. If $\delta > 0$, the system is of positive feedback, else, it is of negative feedback. We will consider the negative feedback case.

Suppose that $f_k, 1 \le k \le n$, are bounded analytic Lipschitz functions and that the system is of negative feedback. Then :

Theorem (Mallet-Paret and Smith)

1) $\exists !$ equilibrium point x^* of the above system.

2) \exists easily verifiable condition implying that x^* is unstable. In this case, there exists at least one – but not more than a finite number of – non constant periodic orbits. One of them is attracting.

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

There is the Poincaré-Bendixson theorem behind this result....

So here they are, the oscillations (not for the m_t^k , but for the intensities)! Because : non constant periodic orbit = oscillations

Simulation of a system with 2 populations and memory 3 for the first population and memory 4 for the second one :



The role of the order of the memory

Definition

We call order of the memory of population k the index $\eta_k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$h_k(t)=c_krac{t^{\eta_k}}{(\eta_k)!}e^{-
u_kt}.$$

We call "total order of memory of the system" the number $\kappa := n + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \eta_k$.

Proposition (Emergence of structured behavior due to increasing memory)

Suppose that $\nu_k = 1$, for all $1 \le k \le n$. Then there exists κ^* such that for all $\kappa < \kappa^*$, the equilibrium point x^* is stable. For $\kappa \ge \kappa^*$, the systems presents oscillations.

If $\nu_k < 1$, then sustained oscillations only occur in some interval $\kappa \in [\kappa_1, \kappa_2]$. Next simulation shows a system of 2 populations, with $\nu_1 = \nu_2 = 0.8$, for increasing values of κ .



Central limit Theorem

We have well understood the behavior of the limit system

To which extent does the large time behavior of the limit system (m_t^1, \ldots, m_t^n) predict the large time behavior of the finite size system ???

 \Rightarrow CLT where convergence of both N and t to infinity is considered.

Theorem (Ditlevsen and L. 2016)

Under suitable assumptions : For any fixed $\ell_1 \leq N_1, \ldots, \ell_n \leq N_n$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} (\frac{Z_{1,i}(t)-m_t^1}{\sqrt{m_t^1}})_{1\leq i\leq \ell_1},\ldots,(\frac{Z_{n,i}(t)-m_t^n}{\sqrt{m_t^n}})_{1\leq i\leq \ell_n} \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{N}(0,I_{\ell_1+\ldots+\ell_n})$$

as N, $t \to \infty,$ where we recall that

 $m_t^i = \mathbb{E}(\bar{Z}^i(t)) =$ mean number of spikes in population *i*.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Have to impose conditions on the way $N, t \rightarrow \infty$: depends on spectral properties of offspring matrix.

1) Result similar to the one obtained by Delattre, Fournier and Hoffmann (2016), but extension to the non-linear case (the rate functions f_k are not supposed to be linear) : we have to use old results on matrix renewal equations obtained by Crump (1970) and Athreya and Murthy (1976).

A (1) > A (2)

1) Result similar to the one obtained by Delattre, Fournier and Hoffmann (2016), but extension to the non-linear case (the rate functions f_k are not supposed to be linear) : we have to use old results on matrix renewal equations obtained by Crump (1970) and Athreya and Murthy (1976).

2) Rate of convergence given by $\sqrt{m_t^k}$, $1 \le k \le n$. 3) Main difficulty : We do not dispose of equivalents of m_t^k as $t \to \infty$.

1) Result similar to the one obtained by Delattre, Fournier and Hoffmann (2016), but extension to the non-linear case (the rate functions f_k are not supposed to be linear) : we have to use old results on matrix renewal equations obtained by Crump (1970) and Athreya and Murthy (1976).

2) Rate of convergence given by $\sqrt{m_t^k}, 1 \le k \le n$.

3) Main difficulty : We do not dispose of equivalents of m_t^k as $t \to \infty$.

4) Result only holds assuming that m_t^k is at least of linear growth, within all populations. (In other words, within each population, there is always some minimal strictly positive spiking intensity).

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

1) Main assumption is on the spectral properties of the "upper" offspring matrix Λ given by

$$\Lambda_{ij} = L \int_0^\infty |h_{ij}|(t) dt, 1 \leq i,j \leq n.$$

Here, L is the Lipschitz constant of the rate functions f_1, \ldots, f_n . 2) In the subcritical case, we only have to impose that $t/N \rightarrow 0$. Main ingredient of the proof in this case is

 $\mathbb{E}(|Z_{k,i}(t)-\bar{Z}_k(t)|) \leq CtN^{-1/2}.$

3) Supercritical case more difficult, in this case

$$\mathbb{E}(|Z_{k,i}(t)-ar{Z}_k(t)|)\leq Ce^{lpha t}N^{-1/2},$$

 $\alpha>0,$ and we have to suppose that $t,N\to\infty$ in such a way that $e^{\alpha t}N^{-1/2}\to 0.$

Diffusion approximation of the intensity process

Second answer to : To which extent are the oscillations of the limit system also felt by the finite size system? : Have a look at the "Large intensity-small jump size"-diffusion approximation (in case n = 2 and $\eta_1 = \eta_2 = 1$):

Recall the generator of the associated PDMP :

$$\begin{aligned} A\varphi(x) &= \\ &\sum_{k=1}^{2} \left[\{ -\nu_k x^{k,1} + x^{k,2} \} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x^{k,1}} - \nu_k x^{k,2} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x^{k,2}} \right] \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{2} N_{k+1} f_{k+1}(x_{k+1,1}) \left[\varphi(x + \frac{c_k}{N_{k+1}} e_{k,2}) - \varphi(x) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Small jumps of size $\frac{c_k}{N_{k+1}}$ appearing at rate $N_{k+1}f_{k+1} \Rightarrow$
$$\left\{\begin{array}{rrr} dX_1(t) &=& -\nu_1 X_1(t) dt + Y_1(t) dt \\ dY_1(t) &=& -\nu_1 Y_1(t) dt + c_1 f_2(X_2(t)) dt \\ && + \frac{c_1}{\sqrt{N_2}} \sqrt{f_2(X_2(t))} dB_2(t) \end{array}\right\},$$

similar equations for the 2nd population $X_2(t), Y_2(t)$.

- Can be extended to higher order delays in Erlang memory kernels \implies longer cascades of SDE's.
- We have the control on the weak error

$$\|P_t \varphi - ilde{P}_t \varphi\|_\infty \leq Ct rac{\|arphi\|_{4,\infty}}{N^2}.$$

<ロ> <同> <同> <同> < 同> < 同>

æ

General comments

• We obtain a diffusion of high dimension driven by only 2 Brownian motions - each of them approximating the jump noise of one of the populations.

• We have to treat the memory terms as auxiliary variables. This gives rise to coordinates of the diffusion without noise \Rightarrow Highly degenerate diffusion.

• **Cascade structure** of the drift : a coordinate does only depend on itself and the following coordinate.

• Due to the **cascade structure** of the drift it is easy to show that the diffusion satisfies the weak Hörmander condition.

< A > < B > <

æ

• Hence it is strong Feller (Ichihara and Kunita 1974).

• Due to the **cascade structure** of the drift it is easy to show that the diffusion satisfies the weak Hörmander condition.

• Hence it is strong Feller (*Ichihara and Kunita 1974*).

• Using a convenient Lyapunov-function and the control theorem (and ideas inspired by the work we did with Michèle Thieullen and Reinhard Höpfner on the stochastic Hodgkin-Huxley system)

 \implies \exists attainable point (which can be chosen to be the unstable equilibrium of the limit monotone cyclic feedback system).

向下 イヨト イヨト

 \implies diffusion is recurrent in the sense of Harris, with unique invariant probability measure.

Theorem

Let Γ be a non constant periodic orbit of the limit system which is asymptotically orbitally stable. Then for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and for all T > 0, for all starting configurations x, P_x -almost surely,

the approx diffusion visits $B_{\varepsilon}(\Gamma)$ during a time period of length T,

infinitely often.

Hence the diffusion approximation visits the oscillatory region infinitely often.

Large deviations

• Large deviations result : For large N, the diffusion stays within tubes around the limit cycle during long periods, before eventually leaving such a tube after a time which is of order

 $e^{N\bar{V}}$

\bar{V} : quasi-potential, related to control problem : cost of steering the process from the limit cycle to the boundary of the tube around the limit cycle.

Large deviations

• Large deviations result : For large N, the diffusion stays within tubes around the limit cycle during long periods, before eventually leaving such a tube after a time which is of order

\bar{V} : quasi-potential, related to control problem : cost of steering the process from the limit cycle to the boundary of the tube around the limit cycle.

 $e^{N\bar{V}}$

• Can be made precise in the sense of sample path large deviations for diffusions with small noise, in the sense of Freidlin-Wentzell (*although diffusion is highly degenerate*). Most important point : establish the **necessary control theory** in our framework.

Point process models Diffusion approximation

Some simulations of the approximating diffusion in the case n = 2



Susanne Ditlevsen, Antonio Galves, Eva Löcherbach

Spiking neurons

Conclusions

• Infinite memory (of Hawkes processes) and introduction of successive memory terms as auxiliary variables gives rise to hypo-elliptic diffusion approximation and its specific **cascade** structure.

- This cascade structure implies two things :
- weak Hörmander condition
- controllability of the system

• Oscillations appear from the non-linear "McKean-Vlasov"-type structure of the limit system (system whose dynamics depends on its own law) - the dynamics of each single particle do not include any periodic behavior.

< 🗇 > < 🖃 >

Remarks

- Specific interaction graph structure not necessary for propagation of chaos : other interaction graphs possible (have to be studied)
- What happens if there are periodic changes in the underlying interaction graph ?
- Example of a dynamical system where there are several coexisting stable orbits?
- What happens when the synaptic strength (i.e. the factor c_k) changes over time (\rightarrow plasticity?)

< 🗇 > < 🖃 >

• And if we add an external signal during some time?

Final remarks on Hawkes processes

- Erlang kernels allow to describe certain Hawkes processes via an associated system of PDMP's
- Their stability behavior can be easily analyzed.
- Gives another approach to Perfect simulation of non-linear Hawkes processes (work in progress with A. Duarte and G. Ost).

Some literature

- COLLET, F., DAI PRA, P., FORMENTIN, M. Collective periodicity in mean-field models of cooperative behavior. *Nonlinear Differential Equations and Applications NoDEA*, 22(5) (2015).
- DELATTRE, S., FOURNIER, N., HOFFMANN, M., High dimensional Hawkes processes. *Ann. App. Probab.* 26 (2016). 216–261
- GALVES, A., L. E., Modeling networks of spiking neurons as interacting processes with memory of variable length. *Journal de la Société Française de Statistiques* 157 (2016).

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

• GRAHAM, C. Chaoticity for multiclass systems and exchangeability within classes. *J. Appl. Prob.*, 45 (2008).

- HANSEN, N., REYNAUD-BOURET, P., RIVOIRARD, V. Lasso and probabilistic inequalities for multivariate point processes. *Bernoulli*, 21(1) (2015).
- MALLET-PARET, J., SMITH, H.L. The Poincaré-Bendixson Theorem for Monotone Cyclic Feedback Systems. *J. of Dynamics and Diff. Equations 2*, 4 (1990).
- SCHEUTZOW, M. Some examples of nonlinear diffusion processes having a time-periodic law. *The Annals of Probability*, 13(2) (1985).

A (1) > A (1) > A

Paper is on arXiv :

• DITLEVSEN, S. L.E. Multi-class oscillating systems of interacting neurons. Available on http://arxiv.org/abs/1512.00265, 2015.

 \bullet $\rm L.E.$ Large deviations for oscillating systems of interacting Hawkes processes in a mean field frame. Soon on arXiv.

Thank you for your attention.



- < ≣ →

æ